Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/857,325	ELLIOTT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1633	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:	
(1) <u>Q. Janice Li, PTO</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mark D. Moore, Appl. Rep.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 5/1/06	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	plicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 212-215, 222-227, 229, 231 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	「WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview	е
Q. JANICE LI, M.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER			_
The contraction of the contracti			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to inform Mr. Moore that claims 222-225 are obvious variants of claims 212-215, and claim 231 has § 112, 2nd paragraph issue. Mr. Moore informed the examiner on 4/21/06 that applicant agreed to amend claims 212, 215, 231, and cancel claims 213-214 by an Examiner's amendment.